



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,241	04/24/2001	Gregg Freishtat	P3985	7519

24739 7590 10/07/2009
CENTRAL COAST PATENT AGENCY, INC
3 HANGAR WAY SUITE D
WATSONVILLE, CA 95076

EXAMINER

KARMIS, STEFANOS

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3693

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

10/07/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

officeactions@CENTRALCOASTPATENT.COM
plambuth@centralcoastpatent.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/842,241	Applicant(s) FREISHTAT ET AL.	
	Examiner STEFANOS KARMIS	Art Unit 3693	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 July 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 41-49 and 59-67 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 41-49 and 59-67 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 3693

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed 07 July 2009.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1-40 and 50-58 are cancelled. Claim 41 and 59 are currently amended. Claims 41-49 and 59-67 are pending.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, filed 10 November 2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 41-67 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection below.

4. Examiner notes that claim 44, 49, 62 and 67 are inconsistent with Applicant's specification. For example, the claim 44 and 62 recite that the second enterprise is one of a financial enterprise, a travel enterprise, or a security service enterprise. However, the specification states that the "first" enterprise is one of a financial enterprise, a travel enterprise or a security enterprise (see paragraphs 0034 and 0045 of Published Application 2001/0037415). Further, claims 49 and 67 recite that the first enterprise is an Internet portal enterprise. However the specification states that the "second" enterprise is an Internet portal enterprise (paragraphs 0031 and 0036). Appropriate correction is required so that the claims are consistent with the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 41-43, 47-49, 59-61, and 65-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bezos et al. (hereinafter Bezos) U.S. Patent 6,029,141 in view of Vittal et al. (hereinafter Vittal) U.S. Patent 6,907,401 2001/0014881.

Claims 41, 42, 59 and 60, Bezos teaches a first Web server hosted by a first enterprise, comprising: a first mechanism receiving a request for information or services from a customer (column 6, line 59 thru column 7 and column 11, lines 28-42, line 5 and Figures 1 and 2); a second mechanism determining whether the request comes directly from the customer, or through a second Web server at a second enterprise (column 14, lines 1-51 and column 15, lines 51-60); and a third mechanism for responding to the customer by the first enterprise with information or provided service to the request, the information or service is identified to the customer as coming from either the first enterprise or the second enterprise; (column 14, lines 1-51 and column 15, lines 51-60).

Bezos fails to teach a rules based filter for filtering the information or services. Vittal teaches a portal switch for electronic commerce in which users can search for a desired item from a merchant (column 5, lines 39-59). Vittal further teaches that the user can perform the

Art Unit: 3693

search by either interrogating the aggregator catalog and data profile or by searching directly the merchant databases (column 5, lines 39-59). The merchant server is connected to the aggregator through the portal (column 5, line 60 thru column 6, line 6). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Bezos for access to a merchant's website either directly or through an associate with the portal communication and filtering teachings of Vittal because it allows for specific services/items to be made available to a user based on the manner in which the user is accessing/requesting the service.

Claims 43 and 61, Bezos fails to teach wherein the Web server provides personal information (PI) collection and aggregation services on behalf of the customers, and the information provided is at least partially derived from the aggregated PI. Vittal teaches that the portal collects and aggregates personal information on behalf of customers (column 6, lines 37-50 and column 8, lines 22-34 and column 9, lines 28-61).

Claims 47 and 65, Bezos and Vittal fail to teach a travel enterprise. Official Notice is taken that purchasing travel related services is old and well known in the financial arts. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Bezos in view of Vittal to for financial transaction to include the travel transactions because they are financial in nature and provide a service to a customer.

Art Unit: 3693

Claims 48 and 66, Bezos teaches wherein the specific services include one or more of (a) creating a new account, (b) authenticating the customer, (c) retrieving summary balance information, (d) retrieve detailed transactions, (e) initiating a funds transfer from one account to another, (f) get a list of eligible rewards, or (g) redeem mileage points (column 14, lines 1-51 and column 15, lines 51-60).

Claims 49 and 67, Bezos fails to teach an internet portal. Vittal teaches access via an internet portal (column 5, lines 39 thru column 6, line 6).

7. Claims 41-46 and 62-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bezos et al. (hereinafter Bezos) U.S. Patent 6,029,141 in view of Vittal et al. (hereinafter Vittal) U.S. Patent 6,907,401 2001/0014881 in further view of Foster U.S. Patent 6,332,134.

Claims 44 and 62, Bezos in view of Vittal teach merchant websites, but fail to teaches wherein the second enterprise is one of a financial enterprise, a travel enterprise, or a security services enterprise. Foster teaches a financial transaction system in which a second enterprise is a financial enterprise(column 12, lines 1-53). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to modify the merchant website teachings of Bezos in view of Vittal to include that the second enterprise is a financial enterprise because financial institutions provide specific and detailed information or services to customers.

Art Unit: 3693

Claims 45 and 63, Bezos in view of Vittal fails to teach teaches wherein the aggregated PI is collected from financial institutions having money deposited for the customer in one or more accounts. Foster teaches a financial institutions portal wherein the services include enabling the customer to accomplish one or more of transferring money from one account to another, and transferring money from an account to settle an obligation to a third party (column 12, lines 1-53).

Claims 46 and 64, Foster teaches wherein the transferring money to settle an obligation comprises paying a bill for either goods or services (column 12, lines 64-column 13, line 4).

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STEFANOS KARMIS whose telephone number is (571)272-6744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Kramer can be reached on (571) 272-6783. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3693

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Respectfully Submitted
/Stefanos Karmis/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3693
5 October 2009